Monatshefte für Chemie 113, 557-559 (1982)

Differential Refractometry of Molecular Complexes: A Reinvestigation

Short Communication

Vinod Singh^a and Ram Sahai*

Department of Chemistry, V.S.S.D. College, Kanpur-208002, India

(Received 4 January 1982. Accepted 8 February 1982)

A modified plot method is used to calculate equilibrium constants of molecular complexes. This method (*Sahai* and *Singh*'s plot) is compared with the method of *Yoshida* and *Osawa*.

(Keywords: Charge-transfer complexes; Differential refractometry; Tetracyanoethylene)

Differentielle Refraktometrie von Molekülkomplexen: Eine Neuuntersuchung (Kurze Mitteilung)

Eine modifizierte refraktometrische Methode zur Berechnung von Gleichgewichtskonstanten von Molekülkomplexen wird verwendet und diese mit der Methode von *Yoshida* und *Osawa* verglichen.

Several investigators have used the refractometric method to calculate the equilibrium constant and stoichiometry of molecular complexes¹⁻¹⁰. Recently Singh and Bhat⁴ have also used this technique to calculate the equilibrium constant (K_1) and stoichiometry of charge-transfer complexes of tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) with benzene, p-xylene and hexamethylbenzene (HMB). They have calculated the value of K_1 by means of Yoshida and Osawa's² eq. (1) in which n^2 is plotted against the molar ratio of solutes (C and C') and observed the maximum deviation from the additive line (k).

$$K_1 = 2\sqrt{k} \left[\sqrt{k} (C + C') - (C + kC')\right] / (C - kC')^2$$
(1)

^a Present address: Department of Biochemistry, All-India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi-110029, India.

In the present communication a modified Yoshida and Osawa's plot as suggested by Sahai and Singh⁸ has been used to calculate the equilibrium constant for the molecular complexes studied by Singh and Bhat⁴. In this method instead n^2 , $\Delta\Omega C_{DA}$, the refraction per cm³ due to the charge-transfer complex is plotted against the molar ratio of solutes and the maximum deviation from the base line (k) is observed. The



Fig. 1. Plots of molar ratio of solutes vs. the refraction per cm³ due to chargetransfer complex, $\Delta\Omega C_{DA}$ indicating 1:1 stoichiometry of benzene—TCNE(\bullet — \bullet — \bullet and \bigcirc — \bigcirc — \bigcirc) and p-xylene—TCNE (\blacktriangle — \blacktriangle — \bigstar and \triangle — \triangle — \triangle) complexes in cyclohexane at 35 °C

values of $\Delta\Omega C_{DA}$ were calculated as reported earlier⁶⁻¹⁰. A representative plot of molar ratio of solutes vs. $\Delta\Omega C_{DA}$ indicating 1:1 stoichiometry of the complexes is shown in Fig. 1.

The K_1 obtained from the plot of molar ratio of solutes vs. n^2 and $\Delta\Omega C_{DA}$ are listed in Table 1. From this table it is evident that K_1 obtained by Sahai and Singh's method⁸ are more reliable than that of Yoshida and Osawa's method². Due to the limited accuracy of the instrument, the solute concentration was raised (0.3 mol/l - 0.010 mol/l) in order to get a k value sufficient to fit in eq. (1). At this higher concentrations a solute aggregation occurs which prevents to get a reliable value for K_1 . The difference in K_1 values for the same system obtained from Yoshida and Osawa's² and Sahai and Singh's⁸ methods may be interpreted due to solute aggregation. Thus the problem of

solute aggregation was solved in the approach of Sahai and Singh. In this scheme, the refraction per cm³ due to solvent, acceptor and donor has been considered whereas in Yoshida and Osawa's plot² the n^2 of the solution (donor + acceptor) was taken in account only. Therefore, the data obtained from Sahai and Singh's plot⁸ were more reliable than

Table 1. Equilibrium constants (K_1) for 1:1 molecular complexes of benzene and methylbenzenes with TCNE in cyclohexane at 35 °C

	Spectrophoto- metric		Refractometric Methods	
			Yoshida and	Sahai and
	<i>K</i> ₁	$T/^{\circ}C$	Osawa's Plot	Singh's Plot
Benzene-TCNE	1.54	35	1.70 ± 0.20	1.30 ± 0.20
p-xylene— $TCNE$	5.97	35	6.40 ± 0.30	5.60 ± 0.30
HMBTCNE	215.6	35	317 ± 10	264 ± 10

Equilibrium Constant (K_1) l mol⁻¹

that of Yoshida and Osawa's² method. It seems therefore that the present scheme may prove better to calculate the K_1 of weak complexes within the limited accuracy of the instrument for which the concentration of the solutes was too high.

One of us, V. S., is grateful to UGC New Delhi for the award of fellowship. The authors are also grateful to Professors P. R. Singh and P. C. Nigam (I. I. T. Kanpur) for providing experimental facilities.

References

¹ Colter A. K., Grunwald E., J. Phys. Chem. 74, 3637 (1970).

- ² Yoshida Z., Osawa E., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 38, 140 (1965).
- ³ Singh R. A., Bhat S. N., Indian J. Chem. **15A**, 1106 (1977).
- ⁴ Singh R. A., Bhat S. N., J. Phys. Chem. 82, 2322 (1978).
- ⁵ Sahai R., Pande P. C., Singh V., Indian J. Chem. 18A, 217 (1979).
- ⁶ Sahai R., Singh V., Verma R., J. Indian Chem. Soc. 57, 493 (1980).
 ⁷ Sahai R., Singh V., Indian J. Pure and Appl. Phys. 18, 504 (1980).
- ⁸ Sahai R., Singh V., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 53, 1185 (1980).
- ⁹ Sahai R., Singh V., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 54, 285 (1981).
- ¹⁰ Sahai R., Singh V., Verma R., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 53, 2995 (1980).